UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/590,205 | 08/22/2006 | Markku Keskiniva | 47121-5021-00 (230541) | 5093 | |
| | 7590 11/21/200 DDLE & REATH (DC) | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209 | | | LOPEZ, MICHELLE | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3721 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/21/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/590,205 | KESKINIVA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Michelle Lopez | 3721 | | |

| | Michelle Lopez | 3721 | |
|---|--|--|-----------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abandonment t, or other evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Rec | es the |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHI | N TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; or | n fee (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. S | |
| | t maion to the date of filing a baid | uill not be entered because | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) | sideration and/or search (see NO | | |
| (c) ⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying the issues | for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | . ,, | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324 |). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled | | imely filed amendment cancelin | a the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an explanation | of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hefore or on the date of filing a No | atice of Anneal will not be entere | ad |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails to provid se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | e a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attached. | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because | e: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721 | | | |
| · • | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claim introduces language that changes the scope of the invention to the extent that it requires further search and considerations.